

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

jum

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/856,768	05/24/2001	Maarten Walter Steinman	PHN-17.662	2269
24737 75	590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			BERCK, KENNETH A	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			XX				
	Application N .	Applica	int(s)				
	09/856,768	MAART	EN WALTER STEINMAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	t				
., ,,	Ken A Berck	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however the statutory mining the statutory mining the statutory mining the statutory of the statutory to be statutory to statutory the statutory to statutory the statutory that is not statutory that is statutory	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be cor X (6) MONTHS from the mailing become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C	nsidered timely. date of this communication. C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	July 2003 .						
	his action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	vance except for	mal matters, prosecution 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G.	on as to the merits is . 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	(f) .				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional applicati	on has been received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-4 Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:					

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment B, filed Jul 9, 2003, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardies (US 4015165) in view of Yamada et al. (US 4,173,518).

Hardies discloses an electric lamp with a glass lamp vessel closed in a gastight manner and in which an electric element is accommodated, current conductors connected to the element and having an end portion of molybdenum projecting outside the lamp with protection against oxidation and a skin on non-corrosive metal.

Hardies fails to clearly point out choosing from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide.

Yamada discloses (column 6, lines 30-53, 65-68) using a coating from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide in order to enhance the density of the electrode, heat resistance, thermal-shock resistance, and electric conductivity.

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the lamp of Hardies with the coating from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide in order to enhance the

Art Unit: 2879

1.34.0070

density of the electrode, heat resistance, thermal-shock resistance, and electric conductivity, as taught by Yamada.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardies (US 4015165) in view of Yializis (US 4,173,518).

Hardies discloses all of the above claim limitations but fails to clearly point out choosing from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide with a layer thickness of 2-3 um.

Yializis discloses (column 2, lines 34-60) using a coating from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide with a layer thickness (column 6, lines 50-65) of 2-3 um in order to have greater reliability and corrosion resistance.

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the lamp of Hardies with the coating from a group of materials formed by titanium nitride and chromium carbide with a layer thickness of 2-3 um in order to have greater reliability and corrosion resistance, as taught by Yializis.



Application/Control Number: 09/856,768

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken A Berck whose telephone number is (703)305-7984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703)305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

kab (%)

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800